

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DIONTAE JOHAN DUNCAN,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
CALIFORNIA HEALTHCARE  
RECEIVERSHIP CORP., et al.,  
Defendants.

No. 1:20-cv-01288-AWI-SKO (PC)

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS AND DENYING  
PLAINTIFF'S SIXTH MOTION FOR  
TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

(Doc. No. 47)

Plaintiff Diontae Johan Duncan is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action. This matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On April 8, 2022, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations, recommending that Plaintiff's sixth motion for temporary restraining order (Doc. No. 42) be denied. (Doc. No. 47.) The findings and recommendations were served on Plaintiff and provided him 14 days to file objections thereto. (*Id.*) Plaintiff filed objections on April 18, 2022.<sup>1</sup> (Doc. No. 50.)

//

<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff's objections are made simultaneous with a "Request to Compel early settlement" that will be separately addressed.

1       In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a  
2 *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the file, including Plaintiff's objections,  
3 the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper  
4 analysis.

5       Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 6       1. The findings and recommendations issued on April 8, 2022 (Doc. No. 47) are  
7                  ADOPTED in full; and,
- 8       2. Plaintiff's sixth motion for temporary restraining order (Doc. No. 42) is DENIED.

9  
10      IT IS SO ORDERED.

11      Dated: June 10, 2022



---

SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28